

BEFORE THE
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of:

PARENT ON BEHALF OF STUDENT,

v.

PERRIS UNION HIGH SCHOOL
DISTRICT.

OAH CASE NO. 2010090176

ORDER OF DETERMINATION OF
INSUFFICIENCY OF DUE PROCESS
COMPLAINT

On September 07, 2010 Parent on behalf of Student (Student) filed a Due Process Hearing Request¹ (complaint) naming Perris Union High School District (District).

On September 16, 2010, the District filed a Notice of Insufficiency (NOI) as to Student's complaint. Student has not filed an opposition to the District's NOI.

APPLICABLE LAW

The named parties to a due process hearing request have the right to challenge the sufficiency of the complaint.² The party filing the complaint is not entitled to a hearing unless the complaint meets the requirements of section 1415(b)(7)(A).

A complaint is sufficient if it contains: (1) a description of the nature of the problem of the child relating to the proposed initiation or change concerning the identification, evaluation, or educational placement of the child, or the provision of a free appropriate public education (FAPE) to the child; (2) facts relating to the problem; and (3) a proposed resolution of the problem to the extent known and available to the party at the time.³ These requirements prevent vague and confusing complaints, and promote fairness by providing the named parties with sufficient information to know how to prepare for the hearing and how to participate in resolution sessions and mediation.⁴

¹ A request for a due process hearing under Education Code section 56502 is the due process complaint notice required under Title 20 United States Code section 1415(b)(7)(A).

² 20 U.S.C. § 1415(b) & (c).

³ 20 U.S.C. § 1415(b)(7)(A)(ii)(III) & (IV)

⁴ See, H.R.Rep. No. 108-77, 1st Sess. (2003), p. 115; Sen. Rep. No. 108-185, 1st Sess. (2003), pp. 34-35.

The complaint provides enough information when it provides “an awareness and understanding of the issues forming the basis of the complaint.”⁵ The pleading requirements should be liberally construed in light of the broad remedial purposes of the IDEA and the relative informality of the due process hearings it authorizes.⁶ Whether the complaint is sufficient is a matter within the sound discretion of the ALJ.⁷

DISCUSSION

Student’s complaint alleges five claims in the complaint, which are all insufficiently pled as discussed below.

As indicated by the District, although Parent resides in the district, Student has not enrolled in the Perris Union High School District. Further, the first four issues in Student’s complaint are directed towards Val Verde Unified School District. Student has provided no factual information to suggest that Perris Union High School District is connected to any of Student’s alleged issues.

Student’s issue five, relates to inter-district transfers. As previously indicated to Parent, the Office of Administrative Hearings has no jurisdiction over inter-district transfers, therefore Student is requesting a sole remedy which OAH cannot provide.

Therefore Student’s complaint is insufficiently pled in that it fails to provide the District with the required notice of a description of the problem and the facts relating to the problem.

ORDER

1. Student’s complaint is insufficiently pled under section 1415(c)(2)(D).
2. Student shall be permitted to file an amended complaint under section 1415(c)(2)(E)(i)(II).⁸

⁵ Sen. Rep. No. 108-185, *supra*, at p. 34.

⁶ *Alexandra R. v. Brookline School Dist.* (D.N.H., Sept. 10, 2009, No. 06-cv-0215-JL) 2009 WL 2957991 at p.3 [nonpub. opn.]; *Escambia County Board of Educ. v. Benton* (S.D.Ala. 2005) 406 F. Supp.2d 1248, 1259-1260; *Sammons v. Polk County School Bd.* (M.D. Fla., Oct. 28, 2005, No. 8:04CV2657T24EAJ) 2005 WL 2850076 at p. 3[nonpub. opn.] ; but cf. *M.S.-G. v. Lenape Regional High School Dist.* (3d Cir. 2009) 306 Fed.Appx. 772, at p. 3[nonpub. opn.].

⁷ Assistance to States for the Education of Children With Disabilities and Preschool Grants for Children With Disabilities, 71 Fed.Reg. 46540-46541, 46699 (Aug. 14, 2006).

⁸ The filing of an amended complaint will restart the applicable timelines for a due process hearing.

3. The amended complaint shall comply with the requirements of section 1415(b)(7)(A)(ii), and shall be filed not later than 14 days from the date of this order.

4. If Student fails to file a timely amended complaint, the complaint will be dismissed.

5. All dates previously set in this matter are vacated.

Dated: September 20, 2010

/s/

JUDITH PASEWARK
Administrative Law Judge
Office of Administrative Hearings